Use of In Vivo Real-Time Optical Imaging for Esophageal Neoplasia
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ABSTRACT

Esophageal adenocarcinoma carries a poor prognosis, as it typically presents at a late stage. Thus, a major research priority is the development of novel diagnostic-imaging strategies that can detect neoplastic lesions earlier and more accurately than current techniques. Advances in optical imaging allow clinicians to obtain real-time histopathologic information with instant visualization of cellular architecture and the potential to identify neoplastic tissue. The various endoscopic imaging modalities for esophageal neoplasia can be grouped into 2 major categories: (1) wide-field imaging, a comparatively lower-resolution view for imaging larger surface areas, and (2) high-resolution imaging, which allows individual cells to be visualized. This review will provide an overview of the various forms of real-time optical imaging in the diagnosis and management of Barrett’s esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Mt Sinai J Med 78:894–904, 2011. © 2011 Mount Sinai School of Medicine

Key Words: Barrett’s esophagus, cancer, diagnostic imaging, endoscopy, neoplasms, optical imaging.

In the United States, incidence rates of esophageal adenocarcinoma have undergone a 400-fold increase over the past several decades. Because these cancers are usually detected at an advanced stage, survival rates are uniformly poor, with a recent study showing a 5-year survival rate of 17%. Given these daunting statistics, a great deal of recent research effort has focused on novel diagnostic-imaging strategies that can detect these tumors at an early and treatable stage. The natural history of esophageal adenocarcinoma often begins with patients progressing from chronic gastroesophageal reflux disease to intestinal metaplasia of the esophagus, referred to as Barrett’s esophagus. Once diagnosed with Barrett’s esophagus, patients are entered into a surveillance protocol by the gastroenterologist, who periodically performs endoscopic examination of the esophagus and takes random biopsies of the Barrett’s-affected area looking for neoplasia, consisting of either high-grade dysplasia or cancer. Although Barrett’s esophagus is asymptomatic, this condition is associated with a 30-fold increase in the risk of developing adenocarcinoma, highlighting the pressing need for better endoscopic detection.

Although Barrett’s esophagus is asymptomatic, this condition is associated with a 30-fold increase in the risk of developing adenocarcinoma, highlighting the pressing need for better endoscopic detection.

Recent advances in high-resolution optical imaging technologies allow clinicians to obtain
real-time images showing cellular detail—so-called optical biopsies—using various wavelengths and the creative manipulation of light. These optical imaging technologies may change the paradigm of current surveillance protocols for high-risk patients by preventing the unnecessary removal of benign tissue and facilitating real-time decision-making at the point of care, potentially allowing immediate endoscopic resection of neoplastic tissue upon detection. This review will provide an overview of the currently available forms of optical imaging in the management of Barrett’s esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma.

**Optical imaging technologies may change the paradigm of current surveillance protocols for high-risk patients by preventing the unnecessary removal of benign tissue and facilitating real-time decision-making at the point of care, potentially allowing immediate endoscopic resection of neoplastic tissue upon detection.**

**OPTICAL IMAGING MODALITIES**

The various endoscopic optical imaging modalities for esophageal cancer can be grouped into 2 major categories, depending on the spatial resolution obtained from the device: (1) wide-field imaging modalities, for imaging larger surface areas such as seen with conventional white-light video endoscopy; and (2) high-resolution imaging modalities, which obtain images at a microscopic level of detail, allowing individual cells to be visualized. Figure 1 illustrates a schematic of all the imaging modalities to be discussed in this review. Over the last several decades, researchers have studied the optical properties of benign, inflammatory, dysplastic, and cancerous tissue to understand how they can be differentiated with various optical imaging modalities. The technical details of each modality and its advantages and disadvantages for the detection of dysplasia and cancer are discussed below.

The various endoscopic optical imaging modalities for esophageal cancer can be grouped into 2 major categories, depending on the spatial resolution obtained from the device: (1) wide-field imaging modalities, for imaging larger surface areas such as seen with conventional white-light video endoscopy; and (2) high-resolution imaging modalities, which obtain images at a microscopic level of detail, allowing individual cells to be visualized.

**Wide-Field Imaging Modalities**

Endoscopic imaging is the main tool used to screen patients for esophageal cancer, and it is also necessary to stage disease and monitor patients for recurrence in post-therapeutic surveillance protocols. In an attempt to improve the diagnostic ability of white-light endoscopy, a number of new wide-field imaging modalities are under development. Table 1 outlines some of these imaging modalities.
### Table 1. Features of Commonly Used Wide-Field and High-Resolution Optical Imaging Modalities for the Detection of Barrett's-Associated Neoplasia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Sensitivity, %</th>
<th>Specificity, %</th>
<th>Reference in Study</th>
<th>No. of Patients in Study (Imaging Sites)</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wide-field modalities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromoendoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Inexpensive; widely studied for increased detection</td>
<td>May cause DNA mutations; must be sprayed on entire area to be visualized</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Canto et al., 2001&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47 (551)</td>
<td>Multicenter parallel trial comparing random biopsies to MB-directed biopsies in patients with Barrett's esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo carmine</td>
<td>Inexpensive; staining patterns well-characterized</td>
<td>Must be sprayed on entire area to be visualized</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Kara et al., 2005&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28 (NR)</td>
<td>Single-center prospective, randomized crossover trial comparing indigo carmine with NBI in patients with Barrett's esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBI</td>
<td>Highlights changes in vasculature; no dyes required</td>
<td>Low specificity for detection of Barrett's</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Mannath et al., 2010&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>446 (2194)</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 8 separate studies studying NBI in patients with diagnosed Barrett's esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCME</td>
<td>Highlights changes in vasculature; no dyes required</td>
<td>Not well studied</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Pohl et al., 2007&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>57 (NR)</td>
<td>Single-center blinded crossover trial comparing DCME with chromoendoscopy with acetic acid in patients with Barrett's esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFI</td>
<td>Highlights changes in endogenous fluorophores</td>
<td>High false-positive rate</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Kara et al., 2005&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61 (116)</td>
<td>Single-center prospective trial comparing AFI with random biopsies in patients with Barrett's esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-resolution modalities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>Can resolve individual tissue layers</td>
<td>Difficult to interpret images</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Isenberg et al., 2005&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33 (314)</td>
<td>Single-center prospective study of patients undergoing surveillance for known Barrett's esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE</td>
<td>Probe-based</td>
<td>Ability to stitch together images</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Pohl et al., 2008&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38 (296)</td>
<td>Multicenter prospective study on patients with either known Barrett's esophagus, with a referral for previously diagnosed neoplasia, or screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscope-based</td>
<td>Ability to see below superficial mucosa, up to 250 µm</td>
<td>Slow frame rate</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Kiesslich et al., 2006&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63 (433)</td>
<td>Single-center prospective trial on patients with either known Barrett's esophagus or with a referral for endoscopic treatment for previously diagnosed neoplasia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AFI, autofluorescence imaging; CLE, confocal laser endomicroscopy; DCME, digital contrast mucosal enhancement; MB, methylene blue; NBI, narrow-band imaging; NR, not reported; OCT, optical coherence tomography.
which are becoming used more frequently every day to augment the use of conventional white-light endoscopy in the screening, diagnosis, and management of esophageal neoplasia.

**Chromoendoscopy**

Chromoendoscopy is a broad term that refers to the use of conventional white-light endoscopy combined with the addition of an exogenous dye that enhances contrast between normal epithelial tissue versus dysplastic and cancerous tissue. Figure 2 shows an example of endoscopic imaging with an exogenous dye. These dyes fall primarily into 3 categories: absorptive stains, which are absorbed across the epithelial-cell membrane; contrast stains, which highlight surface topography by filling the space between gaps in the mucosa; and reactive stains, which change color after undergoing chemical reactions by epithelial cells. None of these stains are currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for chromoendoscopy, but the agents are routinely diluted for clinical use.

Briefly, the absorptive stains used for the detection of Barrett’s-associated adenocarcinoma include methylene blue (MB), toluidine blue, and crystal violet. Methylene blue, or methylthioninium chloride, is a stain that colors intestinal metaplasia and absorptive cells of the small bowel and large bowel a blue color, whereas dysplasia and cancer cells do not stain as strongly, if at all. It is primarily used for Barrett’s esophagus, esophageal and gastric cancer, and ulcerative colitis, and has been shown to have a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 42% for the detection of Barrett’s-associated adenocarcinoma. There is some concern, however, that the use of MB may expose patients to increased cancer risk, as the dye has been shown to induce DNA damage when exposed to white light during endoscopy. Although the finding that MB increases the detection of Barrett’s-associated neoplasia is robust, future research remains to be done to further examine the potential problem of long-term increased cancer risk.

Crystal violet, also known as methylrosaniline chloride or gentian violet, is more commonly known as a topical antimicrobial agent, but it is also a nuclear stain absorbed into intestinal cells that is used for the detection of Barrett’s esophagus, with a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 86% for this purpose. Similar to MB, however, there is some concern about the potential carcinogenicity of crystal violet in endoscopic use. Further research also remains to be carried out to investigate this effect.

Finally, indigo carmine, or indigotindisulfonate sodium, is a contrast stain and is commonly used to image colon cancer, though it has also been reported for screening for Barrett’s-associated neoplasia, with a sensitivity of 93%. Of note, magnification endoscopy, or zoom endoscopy, has been studied in conjunction with chromoendoscopy. Combining features of both a wide-field and high-resolution modality, due to the ability to zoom in...
with the endoscope, the device has been shown to have high diagnostic accuracy, with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 88% for the detection of high-grade dysplasia in a prospective multicenter trial in patients with known or suspected Barrett’s esophagus, using indigo carmine. However, the diagnostic accuracy was found to be comparable to random biopsies; the only advantage was that magnification endoscopy resulted in less procedure time than random biopsies10 (Table 1).

Narrow-Band Imaging
Narrow-band imaging (NBI) is a novel technique that enhances superficial mucosal and vascular changes without the use of dyes or stains. Narrow-band imaging is based on the concept that the penetration depth of light is strongly affected by the presence of hemoglobin. Blue light only penetrates the superficial mucosa due to hemoglobin absorption, whereas red light penetrates deeper tissue layers due to lower absorption by hemoglobin. The currently available NBI system uses a red-green-blue sequential illumination system with a specialized filter placed on the light path. The latter selectively increases the contribution of blue light in a lower wavelength range (415–540 nm), which enhances visualization of the superficial epithelium and vascular network.11 A computer attached to the scope then takes these 3 separate images and combines them into 1, giving the endoscopist a final contrast-enhanced NBI image highlighting the underlying vasculature, as shown in Figure 3. Narrow-band imaging has been shown to have high sensitivity and specificity for visualizing Barrett’s-associated neoplasia, with a recent meta-analysis showing a pooled sensitivity and specificity of 96% and 94%, respectively, for the detection of high-grade dysplasia in the setting of Barrett’s esophagus.12

Digital Contrast Mucosal Enhancement
Digital contrast mucosal enhancement technologies do not alter the interaction of light with tissue; rather, they manipulate the red, green, and blue components of white light in the processor to create a “virtual” image that enhances superficial mucosal and vascular changes. The Fujinon Intelligent Chromoendoscopy (FICE) (Fujinon, Tokyo, Japan) and i-SCAN (Pentax, Tokyo, Japan) systems have been called “virtualchromoendoscopy,” as they achieve the same results as conventional chromoendoscopy, only digitally and without any added dyes. In addition, these systems allow the endoscopist to switch between various preset modes that highlight different characteristics in the tissue, as shown in Figure 4.

Digital contrast mucosal enhancement has so far been shown to be equivalent to conventional chromoendoscopy in a preliminary study comparing FICE to acetic acid chromoendoscopy, as its sensitivity in the detection of neoplasia in patients with Barrett’s esophagus was 92% in a preliminary single-center study with 61 patients.13 Although this technology has not been found to be superior to conventional chromoendoscopy in terms of diagnostic accuracy, digital contrast mucosal enhancement may offer endoscopists a more efficient form of imaging, obviating the need for introducing exogenous dyes.
Autofluorescence Imaging

Autofluorescence imaging (AFI) has developed from the understanding that dysplastic and cancerous tissue have altered levels of fluorescent biomolecules such as elastin and collagen, and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucelotide, allowing visualization of these differences with a specific wavelength of violet light, namely 400–475 nm. Figure 5 shows an example of images obtained with AFI. The use of AFI has been reported in many different organ systems, though the reported sensitivity and specificity for the detection of Barrett’s-associated neoplasia were 91% and 43%, respectively, based on a per-lesion analysis, in a single-center prospective study of 99 patients with Barrett’s esophagus. As noted in this study, this technology is very sensitive for the detection of Barrett’s-associated neoplasia, but it lacks specificity and would benefit from a complementary high-resolution imaging modality to increase the specificity of screening. Curvers et al. showed in a large, multicenter study examining the use of trimodal imaging, or white-light endoscopy combined with NBI and AFI, that it is possible to increase the accuracy of AFI by adding NBI to AFI-abnormal areas, thereby reducing the false-positive rate from 81% to 26%. Future studies are needed to investigate this concept of multimodal imaging to maximize sensitivity and specificity in endoscopic surveillance.

High-Resolution Imaging Modalities

The high-resolution imaging modalities discussed in this review are the new generation of imaging devices that allow the clinician to obtain images with subcellular resolution, often referred to as optical biopsies. Various advantages of these high-resolution imaging devices have been suggested in the diagnosis and management of Barrett’s esophagus, including improved targeting of biopsies with the goal of increasing diagnostic yield and the ability to immediately perform a therapeutic intervention based on an “optical” diagnosis. A
The advantages of these high-resolution imaging devices in the diagnosis and management of Barrett's esophagus include improved targeting of biopsies with the goal of increasing diagnostic yield and the ability to immediately perform a therapeutic intervention based on an "optical" diagnosis.

**Optical Coherence Tomography**

First developed for use in ophthalmologic imaging to noninvasively image the inside of the globe, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has since spread to various specialties. In principle, OCT is similar to ultrasound—but using light instead—and works by using near-infrared light to produce cross-sectional images of tissue, penetrating up to 2 mm of depth. The benefit of this technology is that it may be used to image the microarchitecture of mucosal tissue, showing the epithelium, basement membrane, underlying vessels, and lamina propria, as shown in Figure 6. Although OCT is similar to ultrasound, ultrasound imaging requires contact with tissue and allows resolution of roughly 100 µm; OCT does not require tissue contact and provides much-higher–resolution images, at 2–4 µm of spatial resolution, higher than conventional endoscopic ultrasound systems but lower than current confocal platforms. The primary advantage of OCT is its imaging depth, and the ability to view the tissue in a transverse fashion, similar to how histologic slides are viewed. The sensitivity and specificity for the detection of Barrett's-associated neoplasia with OCT are 68% and 82%, respectively. Optical coherence tomography has the potential to be a very useful imaging modality in endoscopic screening, particularly in its ability to detect subepithelial neoplasia, yet there are currently no commercially available platforms, as currently existing systems are being evaluated in a research setting.
Confocal Endomicroscopy

Confocal endomicroscopy uses exogenous contrast agents and low-powered laser light to allow epithelial imaging at a subcellular resolution. Figure 7 shows an example of images obtained with this imaging modality. This technology, like other imaging modalities discussed in this review, has spread to various clinical areas and specialties. There are 2 currently available confocal platforms available for clinical use, with slight differences between the 2 systems. The Pentax EC3870 (Pentax, Tokyo, Japan) combines a conventional endoscope with a confocal microscope on the tip, and is referred to as endoscope-based confocal laser endomicroscopy (eCLE). This system has the advantage of being able to image up to 250 µm below the surface, allowing the endoscopist to move through various depths of tissue 7 µm at a time, obtaining thin-layered images analogous to histologic sections of tissue. Of note, the mucosa is visualized in an en face orientation parallel to the tissue surface with the confocal probe, in contrast with traditional histologic sections, which are cut in a transverse fashion. The spatial resolution of the system is roughly 0.7 µm, the highest resolution of any optical modality to date. Because the confocal probe is incorporated into the endoscope, the endoscopist is limited to using this endoscope and cannot combine eCLE with other wide-field modalities such as NBI. In addition, eCLE has a slow frame rate of 1.2 frames/second, meaning that images are viewed as a series of still images, similar to a radar screen.

The second commercially available confocal platform is the Cellvizio-GI (Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France), which is a probe-based system (pCLE). This device is passed through the accessory channel of any endoscope, rather than being integrated into the endoscope itself. The processor allows the endoscopist to stitch images together for review after imaging, creating a “mosaic” of images and larger field of view. Because the pCLE is not capable of sectioning into the tissue, the imaging depth is fixed at roughly 60 µm into the surface. The spatial resolution ranges from 1 to 3.5 µm. The frame rate in the pCLE system is also faster than in the eCLE platform, displaying frame rates of 12 frames/second and 1.2 frames/second, respectively. Although the pCLE platform is less expensive than eCLE, both cost more than $125,000, potentially limiting their use to tertiary referral centers.

In order to visualize cellular architecture, confocal microscopy requires exogenous fluorescent contrast agents. The agents that have primarily been used to image with confocal microscopy are intravenous fluorescein and topical acriflavine.

In order to visualize cellular architecture, confocal microscopy requires exogenous fluorescent contrast agents.

Fluorescein, an FDA-approved intravenous contrast agent used commonly in ophthalmology, must be given intravenously. The advantage of the intravenous administration, however, is deeper visualization into the tissue, allowing the endoscopist to clearly delineate the lamina propria. Acriflavine was historically used as an antibacterial agent. Although it localizes to the cell nuclei, concerns have been raised about the potential mutagenicity of the drug. Despite this, its use in confocal microscopy

Fig 7. Endoscope-based confocal laser endomicroscopic image of (A) Barrett’s esophagus and (B) Barrett’s-associated high-grade dysplasia.
Because high-resolution modalities such as confocal endomicroscopy create images such as those typically examined by pathologists, researchers have questioned whether endoscopists are as accurate at interpreting these so-called optical biopsies.

Barrett’s-associated neoplasia with pCLE at 80% and 94%, respectively,23 and 93% and 98% with eCLE.24 The use of this technology has also been shown to reduce the number of biopsies needed for diagnosing neoplasia in Barrett’s esophagus by as much as 60%.25

**High-Resolution Microendoscopy**

The high-resolution microendoscope (HRME) is a novel fiber-optic bundle that can image mucosa at a subcellular resolution by fluorescence imaging.26 In contrast with laser-based confocal microscopy, which generates scanning images, HRME instead uses light-emitting diode illumination allowing a real-time view, as shown in Figure 8. Although the device does not have the imaging depth or resolution of current confocal platforms, the cost is significantly lower, at approximately $4000 per system, but it is currently only available in a research setting. To use the device, the 1-mm-diameter fiberoptic probe is inserted into the accessory channel of a standard endoscope (similar to an endocytoscope or pCLE), allowing the endoscopist to obtain both a wide-field image along with a microscopic view from the HRME. The device requires exogoneous fluorescent contrast agents, with current studies using topical proflavine hemisulfate 0.01%.26–28

So far, HRME has been shown to have good sensitivity and specificity as compared with standard histopathology in patients with Barrett’s esophagus, as expert review of the images after collection was found to have a sensitivity of 87% and a specificity of 61%.28 Currently, a preliminary single-center study that was recently completed examining the use of HRME in Barrett’s esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma found that raters were able to diagnose images after collection with high accuracy and inter-rater reliability (presented at Digestive Diseases Week 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

Multiple novel optical imaging modalities are now available to clinicians to visualize esophageal mucosa.
at the macro and cellular level in real time, and the use of these devices will likely increase their diagnostic accuracy in the detection of neoplasia. The potential benefits are numerous, as these technologies may give clinicians the ability to not only target biopsies more accurately and thus reduce the number of biopsies needed for diagnosis, but also to make decisions based on these real-time imaging modalities, saving patients additional visits for follow-up treatment. The small size and low cost of certain imaging modalities discussed previously, such as the high-resolution microendoscope, also allow for relatively quick screening of large numbers of patients in low-resource settings. Before these devices are used routinely, however, further research is required in the form of large randomized controlled trials to determine the optimal combination of imaging modalities that provide the greatest diagnostic accuracy for the detection of esophageal neoplasia.
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