Title: Advances in fluorescence imaging techniques to detect oral cancer and its precursors

Dongsuk Shin¹, Nadarajah Vigneswaran², Ann Gillenwater³, Rebecca Richards-Kortum⁴*

*Author for correspondence

1. Rice University, Department of Bioengineering, 6500 Main St., Houston, TX 77030, USA
Tel.: +1 713 348 3022; Fax: +1 713 348 5877; E-mail: ds9@rice.edu

2. The University of Texas Dental Branch at Houston, Department of Diagnostic Sciences, 6516 M.D. Anderson, Suite 3.094G, Houston, TX 77030, USA
Tel.: +1 713 500 4410; Fax: +1 713 500 4372; E-mail: nadarajah.vigneswaran@uth.tmc.edu

3. The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Head and Neck Surgery, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Houston, TX 77030, USA
Tel.: +1 713 792 8841; Fax: +1 713 794 4662; E-mail: agillenw@mdanderson.org

4. Rice University, Department of Bioengineering, 6500 Main St., Houston, TX 77030, USA
Tel.: +1 713 348 3005; Fax: +1 713 348 5877; E-mail: rkortum@rice.edu

Summary

Oral cancer is a significant health problem in the United States and throughout the world. Most oral cancer patients are diagnosed at a late stage, when treatment is less successful and treatment-associated morbidity is more severe. A number of new diagnostic aids to conventional oral examination have recently been introduced to assist in the early detection of oral neoplasia. In particular, autofluorescence imaging has emerged as a promising adjunctive technique to improve early identification of oral premalignant lesions. Direct visual inspection of tissue autofluorescence has shown encouraging
results in high prevalence populations, but the technique requires subjective interpretation and depends on the visual recognition skills of the examiner. Capturing and analyzing digital fluorescence images can reduce subjectivity, and potentially improve sensitivity of detection of precancerous changes. Recent studies of wide-field autofluorescence imaging in low prevalence populations suggest that benign lesions such as inflammation may give rise to false-positive results. High resolution fluorescence imaging is a new modality that can be used in conjunction with wide-field imaging to improve specificity by imaging sub-cellular detail of neoplastic tissues. The combination of wide-field and high-resolution fluorescence imaging systems with automated image analysis should be investigated to maximize overall diagnostic performance for early detection of oral neoplasia.

Keywords: oral cancer, diagnosis, optical fluorescence imaging, image processing.
Introduction

Oral cancer is the eighth most common cancer worldwide, with approximately 274,000 new cases reported annually [1]. In the United States alone, it is estimated that 35,000 new cases will be reported in 2009, and 7,600 deaths are expected [2]. The majority of patients diagnosed with oral cancer live in developing countries [3]. In India, for example, oral cancer ranks number one in prevalence among all cancers in male patients and number three among cancers in female patients. In south-central Asia, oral cancer ranks amongst the three most common types of cancer. Recent epidemiologic data show sharp increases in the incidence of oral cancer reported in European countries, and to a lesser extent, the USA [4].

Oral cancer survival rates are strongly dependant on the stage at diagnosis. Patients diagnosed with oral cancer at a localized stage have substantially greater chance of successful treatment and less treatment-associated morbidity [2] than those diagnosed at a late stage. Improving early detection represents one of the best ways to improve survival and quality of life for oral cancer patients worldwide. In the United States, there has been only marginal improvement in the relative 5-year survival rates for oral cancer since 1975. The modest improvement in survival is due to a combination of earlier diagnosis and improved treatment [4]. In developing countries, oral cancer patients tend to be diagnosed at a later stage than in developed countries [5]. Thus, there remains an important need to improve early detection of oral cancer and its precursors.

Challenges in Oral Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment

The current goal of International Agency for Research on Cancer, American Cancer Society and WHO is to reduce 1/3 of the predicted 15 million cancer cases by diagnosing and treating these cancers at their
pre-neoplastic levels. Oral cancer is an ideal choice for this strategy because of the following reasons; (1): Oral cavity provides easy access for clinical inspection; (2): Oral cancer development is preceded by visible mucosal changes [6]. However, only 40% of oral cancers are currently diagnosed as localized disease which is at the same rate as colon cancers [6].

Despite recent diagnostic and therapeutic advances, the 5-year survival rate for oral cancer remained less than 50% over the last 50 years due to the following reasons; (1): The majority of oral cancer cases (60%) present with advanced stages (III and IV) at diagnosis. (2): Oral cancer has the highest risk for the development of second primary tumors (“field cancerization phenomenon”) of any cancer. The five year recurrence-free survival rate is 80% for stage 1 oral cancer patients whereas only 20% of patients with stage IV oral cancer survive after 5-years [7-9]. Moreover, early diagnosis of oral cancer significantly reduces treatment related morbidity and improve overall long-term survival [10,11]. Patients with a history of oral cancers are at risk of developing second primary tumors at a rate of 3.7% per year because of “field cancerization” and one-fourth of all oral cancer-related deaths are caused by second primary tumors [12]. Hence, patients who are successfully treated for oral cancer should be closely monitored, preferably using a non-invasive diagnostic test.

Diagnosis of oral cancer at an early stage or at the pre-neoplastic level is critical to improve survival in OSCC patients. However, screening via clinical examination alone by general dentists during the patients’ routine dental examination has resulted in poor detection rates. Dentists frequently detect white or red patches during routine screening of an asymptomatic patient. Based on analysis of biopsies of potentially malignant oral mucosal lesions (n=926) submitted to an UT-Dental Branch Houston oral pathology biopsy service in 2009, more than 75% of these lesions are confounding lesions, which will be microscopically diagnosed as benign, except for a small proportion (< 25%) being diagnosed as oral cancer or its precursor.
General dental practitioners do not have the clinical training and experience to distinguish potentially malignant lesions from confounding lesions and hence, many of these patients need to be referred to a specialist clinic for scalpel biopsy for a definitive diagnosis.

Referring patients with potentially malignant oral lesions to specialist centers is plagued by a long waiting time leading to significant diagnostic delays [13]. A recent study conducted in the U.S reported that the mean time from the initial detection of a potentially malignant lesion by a primary health care provider and referral to a specialist for evaluation was 35.9 days [14]. In some cases this delay exceeded more than 10 months [14]. For patients with newly diagnosed oral cancer, the median delay in initial diagnosis in Canada was 4.5 weeks which is significantly shorter than in the U.S. which is reported to be 18.4 weeks [14,15]. This longer delay is attributed to the disparity in health care system and health insurance related issues in the U.S. [14]. It should be noted that for patients with oral cancer, delays in diagnosis even by a month may contribute to a diagnosis of a later-stage disease [16]. Moreover, treatment delays of more than 40 days in early stage oral cancer were associated with an increased risk of loco-regional failure impacting their survival [17]. In addition, scalpel biopsy is time consuming, uncomfortable and stressful to the patient and is a relatively expensive procedure.

Therefore, developing and validating an acceptable non-invasive diagnostic test that can discriminate benign oral mucosal lesions from oral cancers and its precursors with minimal false-positive and false-negative results would be beneficial not only for the patient but also to society by reducing health care cost by avoiding unnecessary scalpel biopsies.

Current oral cancer screening and diagnostic methods

The standard method for oral cancer screening has long been conventional oral examination and palpation, usually performed by dentists or physicians. Visual inspection of the oral cavity is performed under normal white light illumination, followed by palpation of suspicious lesions. Downer et al. [18]
has systematically reviewed the performance of visual examination for oral cancer detection. Across the 8 studies reviewed by Downer et al. [18], sensitivity values ranged from 60 to 97% and specificity values from 75 to 99%. Oral cancer specialists can often recognize subtle visual changes associated with early lesions, but community practitioners or general dentists may lack the experience to identify early lesion development.

Several visualization adjuncts to standard oral examination are now commercially available. Toluidine blue is a vital dye that has been used in the oral cavity for decades to improve visibility of lesions during visual exam. In a recent review of the performance of visual exam with toluidine blue, sensitivity ranged from 38 to 98%, while specificity varied from 9 to 93% [19]. In general, examination with toluidine blue is associated with low specificity and this has prevented toluidine blue from becoming a standard component of early oral cancer detection efforts in the United States.

The ViziLite (Zila Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Phoenix, AZ) system offers an alternative to white light illumination for visual examination; a disposable chemiluminescent light source illuminates tissue with blue light. Providers view reflected blue light to detect abnormal changes in oral cavity. Initial studies conducted by Epstein et al. [20] and Kerr et al. [21] indicated that the ViziLite could potentially aid in detection of oral premalignant lesions by improving brightness and sharpness. Epstein et al. [20] examined 134 patients who had identified oral lesions using conventional white light and ViziLite illumination. The study showed that two lesions became clinically visible only after ViziLite examination. Kerr et al. [21] examined 501 patients who had a positive tobacco history using conventional white light, followed by ViziLite illumination. The study reported that six lesions not previously seen by conventional examination were identified by ViziLite examination. However, other studies [22-24] have reported that the ViziLite does not aid in the identification of oral lesions. In a study conducted by Ram and Siar [22], 40 patients in a high prevalence population were examined with the ViziLite, following conventional
examination of the oral cavity. Farah and McCullough [23] examined 55 patients referred for assessment of an oral white lesion with the ViziLite, following conventional oral examination. Both studies concluded that examination with the ViziLite did not change the diagnosis. The authors noted that ViziLite examination could not discriminate between benign or inflammatory and premalignant or malignant oral lesions. In a study conducted by Oh and Laskin [24], 100 patients who presented for dental screening were examined with the ViziLite, following conventional examination. Results demonstrated that all of the lesions were detected during standard oral examination and no additional lesions were detected by the ViziLite. Thus, there remains an important need for alternative diagnostic methods which can enhance visualization of oral lesions and particularly help discriminate benign and premalignant lesions.
Emerging Technologies:

Wide-field fluorescence imaging

In the approaches described previously, clinicians illuminate tissue with white or blue light and observe light which is reflected from the mucosal surface. However, there are a range of light-tissue interactions which can be exploited to improve the visualization of neoplastic lesions. In particular, tissue autofluorescence has recently shown promise as an adjunctive diagnostic tool. Fluorophores within the oral epithelium and stroma absorb UV and visible light and can re-emit some of this light at longer wavelengths in the form of fluorescence. When the reflected illumination light is blocked with an absorbing filter, it is possible to visualize the longer wavelength fluorescence even with the naked eye. Autofluorescence originates from a variety of fluorophores in the oral cavity, and is sensitive to alterations in both tissue morphology and biochemistry associated with neoplasia [25,50]. Oral cancer and precancer display a loss of autofluorescence across a broad range of UV and visible excitation wavelengths; as described later, this loss of fluorescence is largely attributed to a decrease in fluorescent crosslinks associated with stromal collagen that underlies the neoplastic lesion. The VELscope® (LED Dental, Inc., White Rock, BC, Canada) is a commercially available device to visualize tissue autofluorescence in the oral cavity. The VELscope has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as an adjunct to traditional oral examination to enhance the visualization of oral mucosal abnormalities, and its clinical use appears to be growing. Lane et al. [26] described an initial version of this device for direct visualization of oral cavity tissue fluorescence. Visual identification of oral neoplastic lesions using the VELscope is based on the premise that abnormal tissue appears dark brown to black due to decreased levels of autofluorescence, while normal healthy tissue emits pale green autofluorescence (Figure 1). The device achieved a sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 100%
using histology as the gold standard when discriminating normal mucosa from severe
dysplasia/carcinoma in situ (CIS) or invasive carcinoma in 50 biopsy sites from 44 patients. Two case
study reports [29,30] suggest that the VELscope can assist clinicians in detecting oral lesions which are
occult under white light examination and in more effectively identifying which regions to biopsy.

A number of recent studies have suggested that the VELscope can be used as an adjunct to visual
examination to improve the detection of oral neoplasia [33-38]. Paulis [31] for example, suggests that
the VELscope provides dental professionals with guidance in detecting oral cancer, noting that while it
may provide some false-positive findings, it is a screening device not a definitive diagnostic tool.
However, although promising results have been reported, most of these studies have been limited to
small numbers of patients in high prevalence populations; several recent reviews [19,40-46] highlight
the need for additional research to evaluate VELscope performance in general practice. Balevi [39]
notes that the potential for false-positives may cause unnecessary stress and fear among patients, and
increase morbidity and costs for patients when it is used in general practice as a routine screening tool.

A recent study reporting VELscope performance in a low prevalence population highlights this need.
Huber [32] examined 130 subjects who smoked at least one package of cigarettes per day with the
VELscope, following conventional oral examination. The author found that ten suspicious lesions were
detected by conventional examination and no occult lesions were identified by the VELscope. Moreover,
72% (80/111) of lesions or conditions clinically characterized as inflammation, ulceration or
pigmentation demonstrated a loss of fluorescence on VELscope examination, potentially making it
difficult to distinguish them from neoplastic lesions which also exhibit decreased autofluorescence
(Figure 2). The author concludes that the VELscope may be a useful adjunct for experienced
practitioners, especially to monitor recognized lesions, but questioned its value as a general screening
adjunct.
In a recently published study, Mehrotra et al. examined the diagnostic accuracy of the ViziLite Plus and VELscope in detecting oral dysplasia and carcinomas in 256 oral mucosal lesions deemed clinically innocuous based on conventional white light oral examination by an expert clinician [47]. The authors determined the specificity and sensitivity of the ViziLite Plus and VELscope in detecting oral dysplasia and carcinomas by comparing their respective finding with the “gold standard” scalpel biopsy results. They reported a disappointing 0% sensitivity and 75.5% specificity for the ViziLite Plus and 50% sensitivity and 38.9% specificity for the VELscope. The use of toluidine blue has been assessed as an adjunct to determine resection margins for oral cancer. In a case study of a patient with oral squamous cell carcinoma conducted by Missmann et al. [48], small suspect lesions away from the main tumor were detected upon toluidine blue staining, and the resection area was enlarged to include these areas. Resulting margins were clear, and the patient was disease-free for more than 3 years with follow up. Kerawala et al. [49] examined 14 oral squamous cell carcinomas from 11 patients to preoperatively determine resection margins with toluidine blue. Invasive carcinoma stained with toluidine blue, which enabled complete removal in all cases. However, dysplasia or carcinoma in situ which did not stain with toluidine blue was identified in the margin of 8 of the 14 samples (57%). The authors suggest that toluidine blue staining may be of value in identifying invasive cancers at margins, but may be of little value in delineating positive margins of dysplasia or carcinoma in situ and may not reduce incidence of local recurrences.

Direct visualization of loss of fluorescence also has potential to identify high risk fields of precancer and cancer in order to better delineate surgical margins of oral cancer. Poh et al. [27] showed that VELscope imaging could identify oral neoplasia in the operating room setting with a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 94% in a study of 122 oral mucosa biopsies from 20 patients. Poh et al. [28] recently examined the rate of recurrence for oral cancer patients whose lesions were resected with standard visual guidance vs. autofluorescence image-guidance. With a minimum 12-month follow up, 32% of the
22 control-group patients experienced recurrence, while none of the 38 fluorescence-guided patients experienced recurrence.

The VELscope relies on the ability of the examiner to recognize loss of fluorescence, and performance depends on subjective visual recognition skills. As an alternative, digital images of tissue fluorescence can be captured and analyzed using quantitative image interpretation methods. To test this approach, Roblyer et al. [50] developed a multispectral digital microscope (MDM), capable of collecting narrow band reflectance and fluorescence images at a variety of illumination and emission conditions. Roblyer et al. [51] used the MDM to select optimal wavelengths to distinguish neoplastic and non-neoplastic oral mucosa. Results showed that the normalized red-to-green fluorescence intensity ratio at 405 nm excitation provided the best discrimination between neoplastic and non-neoplastic areas. A quantitative algorithm, based on the red-to-green fluorescence intensity ratio from regions of interest could discriminate normal tissue from dysplasia and cancer in a high prevalence population, with a sensitivity of 95.9% and specificity of 96.2% in a training set of 46 subjects, and with a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 91.4% in a validation set of 21 subjects.

This same approach was used to analyze the signal from each pixel in a fluorescence image to create a disease probability map, indicating the likelihood that tissue underlying each pixel in the image contained neoplasia. Figure 3 shows an example of such a disease probability map, superimposed atop a white light image. As shown in the figure, the results of the disease probability map agree will with histology. This type of image analysis approach may provide a more objective way to detect and delineate oral neoplasia in primary health care settings.

Optical properties of oral neoplastic tissue

Recent studies have characterized the biochemical and micro-anatomic origins of tissue fluorescence as well as how tissue autofluorescence changes with neoplastic progression. The autofluorescence
properties of oral tissues vary based on anatomic site and pathologic diagnosis [52-54]. In normal squamous oral mucosa, autofluorescence in the UV and visible region of the spectrum is predominantly associated with collagen in the stroma. The epithelium shows weak autofluorescence, primarily associated with mitochondrial NADH and FAD in basal epithelial cells based on studies with Mitotracker and mitochondrial poisons. In addition, superficial keratin contributes to epithelial fluorescence. Neoplasia is associated with a strong loss of stromal autofluorescence, which is likely responsible for loss of autofluorescence observed in wide-field images. In addition, epithelial dysplasia is associated with increased mitochondrial fluorescence throughout the epithelium. Inflammatory lesions are associated with loss of both epithelial and stromal autofluorescence (Figure 4). Thus, wide-field fluorescence imaging, a technique that captures autofluorescence generated primarily in the stroma, may give rise to a loss of fluorescence in both benign and precancerous lesions. One approach to improve diagnostic accuracy is to obtain images from more superficial layers using high-resolution imaging systems.

High-resolution optical techniques for oral cancer detection

An advantage of optical imaging of oral tissue is the ability to record images with sub-cellular resolution in vivo without performing biopsies. Several high resolution imaging approaches have advanced to pre-clinical and clinical trials. Confocal reflectance microscopy is an optical technology that can provide detailed images of tissue architecture and cellular morphology throughout the epithelium of living tissue in near real time. Contrast is based on differences in refractive index, which can be enhanced using simple contrast agents such as acetic acid [55,56]. A miniaturized fiber optic confocal reflectance microscope has been developed to image oral neoplasia in vivo [57]. Distinct features indicative of oral precancer, such as nuclear enlargement, crowding and pleomorphism can be imaged and correlated well with histologic features observed in subsequent biopsies, as shown in Figure 5a.
Alternatively, fluorescent dyes can be applied to enhance image contrast and imaged using confocal fluorescence microscopy. Thong et al. [58] investigated the capability of a confocal fluorescence microscope system to identify morphologic details in living tongue tissue. Morphologic differences between normal and neoplastic lesions in tongue were distinguished using fluorescein and 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) induced protoporphyrin IX (PPIX) fluorescence. Recently, a pilot study of a confocal fluorescence microendoscope was performed to investigate the feasibility of high-resolution optical imaging for detection of oral neoplasia [59]. Confocal images obtained with exogenous contrast agents (topical acriflavine and intravenous fluorescein) showed architectural details of tissues, such as changes in nuclear size and spacing, and changes in capillary networks, providing the potential to distinguish carcinoma from normal mucosa as shown in Figure 5b. A fiber-optic high-resolution fluorescence microendoscope was developed to visualize sub-cellular detail in living tissue [60]. The portable system uses LED illumination to excite and collect fluorescence through a fiber bundle with no requirement for complex scanning mechanisms. Following topical application of proflavine, a surgically resected tissue specimen from the human oral cavity was imaged across the clinical margin, demonstrating qualitative and quantitative differences between normal and cancerous tissue based on sub-cellular image features such as nuclear-to-cytoplasmic (N/C) ratio as shown in Figure 5c.

Carlson et al. [61] developed a dual-mode reflectance and fluorescence confocal microscope (DCM) to image molecular properties of tissue as well as tissue architecture and cellular morphology using reflective and fluorescent molecular-specific contrast agents. Images obtained with the combination of reflectance and fluorescence provide information about both the morphologic and molecular changes associated with cancer progression. Thirty three biopsies of normal and abnormal oral mucosa obtained from 14 patients were imaged with DCM [62]. Information such as mean fluorescence labeling intensity from fluorescence confocal images may add complementary information to that such as N/C ratio from
reflectance confocal images, improving the ability to distinguish oral neoplastic lesion from normal tissue.

**Future perspective**

*Combination of wide-field and high-resolution systems*

Wide-field imaging enables rapid inspection of large mucosal surfaces, to aid in recognition of suspicious lesions. Neoplasia is associated with a loss of autofluorescence and this approach can reveal lesions which are difficult to detect with standard white light examination. However, the presence of inflammation is also associated with loss of stromal autofluorescence, and may give rise to false-positive results with wide-field fluorescence imaging. In contrast, high-resolution imaging can probe epithelial changes with sub-cellular detail, comparable to that of conventional histopathology. Once suspicious regions are identified from wide-field images, these areas could be imaged with sub-cellular resolution using a high-resolution system potentially improving specificity. Wide-field and high resolution images can both be analyzed objectively using image processing algorithms to indicate disease probability, as demonstrated in previous studies [51]. The results of these algorithms can be used to flag suspicious regions on wide-field images in real time, designating target areas for high resolution imaging. Similarly, high resolution images can be analyzed to calculate morphologic features, such as average N/C ratio and nuclear separation. The combination of wide-field and high-resolution imaging may enhance the performance of optical imaging for real time, objective detection of neoplastic changes. While multimodal optical imaging has shown interesting preliminary results, it has not yet been evaluated in a low risk population. Moreover, the expertise requirement and cost-effectiveness of the technique needs to be evaluated before suggesting this for population based low-risk screening. Finally, there is a critical need to engineer imaging systems which are appropriate for use not just in specialized clinics, but for first-line practice settings, such as dental offices.
Executive summary

Oral cancer detection

- Early detection and diagnosis of oral neoplastic changes is the best way to improve patient outcomes.
- Conventional oral examination is based on visual inspection under normal white light and palpation of suspicious lesions, usually performed by dentists or physicians.

Diagnostic aids and adjunctive techniques

- A variety of diagnostic aids and adjunctive techniques are commercially available, such as toluidine blue and the ViziLite.
- Data indicate that alternative diagnostic techniques can improve diagnostic performance in high-risk populations, but there is little evidence to support their effectiveness in low-risk populations.

Wide-field autofluorescence imaging

- The VELscope is a commercially available device to visualize loss of tissue autofluorescence associated with precancer and cancer in the oral cavity.
- Digital image processing of wide-field autofluorescence images can be used to outline suspicious regions in real time.
• The autofluorescence observed in wide-field images of the normal oral mucosa originates predominantly from stromal collagen. Oral neoplasia is associated with a loss of stromal autofluorescence.

• Benign lesions, such as inflammation, are also associated with loss of stromal autofluorescence, which may limit diagnostic specificity especially in low risk populations.

**High-resolution imaging**

• High-resolution imaging of oral tissue can visualize morphologic and architectural features of the epithelium in vivo with sub-cellular resolution, including the characteristic changes in nuclear size, shape, and density associated with oral precancer.

• High resolution imaging may provide a tool to discriminate benign changes, such as inflammation, from neoplasia with better specificity than wide-field imaging.

**Combination of wide-field and high-resolution imaging**

• Multi-modal optical imaging – a combination of wide-field autofluorescence and high resolution imaging may yield the best sensitivity and specificity for detection of oral neoplasia.

• Particular emphasis should be given to evaluating multi-modal optical imaging in a low risk population.
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**Figures**
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**Figure 1:** The left column illustrates (a) a white-light image of the ventral tongue of a patient with an oral premalignant lesion, which when biopsied was confirmed to be severe dysplasia and, (b) a corresponding autofluorescence image obtained with the VELscope. The arrow indicates the region of fluorescence visualization loss and biopsy location. Reprinted from [26], with permission from © SPIE 2006.
Figure 2: (a) A white light image of the soft palate of a patient with ulceration and, (b) corresponding autofluorescence image obtained with VELscope. The arrow indicates a region of fluorescence visualization loss. Originally published in the Texas Dental Journal, Vol. 126, Number 6, June 2009 [32]. Reprinted with permission.
Figure 3: (a) A white light image of the floor of the mouth with a histopathologically confirmed dysplasia and carcinoma in situ, (b) 405 nm excitation fluorescence image showing areas with decreased autofluorescence and (c) white light image with disease probability map showing the predictive probability of a neoplastic lesion superimposed, respectively. The disease probability map indicates the probability that, based on the ratio of red to green fluorescence intensity, the underlying tissue is neoplastic. Letters indicate specific locations where pathology is known, and results of digital image analysis show good agreement with histology. Roblyer et al. [51] provides a detailed explanation of how the map was developed and evaluated in a high prevalence setting. The high sensitivity and specificity obtained suggest that such disease probability maps can be used to help objectively delineate the presence and extent of lesions, highlighting suspicious areas which may require further examination. However, further studies in low prevalence populations are required to assess performance with potentially confounding lesions such as benign inflammation. Reprinted with permission from [51].
Figure 4: Confocal fluorescence images at 488 nm excitation of fresh organ cultures, illustrating the origins of epithelial and stromal fluorescence in the normal tongue (a). Adjacent images of lesions in the tongue illustrate the changes in epithelial and stromal fluorescence associated with severe inflammation (b), and mild dysplasia and mild to moderate inflammation (c). White lines indicate the approximate location of the basement membrane. (d) A fluorescence image of poorly differentiated carcinoma in the palate. Scale bars, 200 µm. Inflammatory lesions show decreased epithelial fluorescence, whereas dysplastic lesions display increased epithelial fluorescence compared to normal oral tissue. Stromal fluorescence in both inflammatory and dysplastic lesions drops significantly. Thus, wide-field fluorescence imaging, a technique that captures autofluorescence generated primarily in the stroma, may fail to distinguish inflammation from precancerous lesions. One approach to improve diagnostic accuracy is to obtain images from more superficial layers using high-resolution imaging systems. Reprinted with permission from [52].
Figure 5: High-resolution images: (a) High-resolution images obtained in vivo with a fiber optic confocal reflectance microscope. Small, regularly spaced epithelial cell nuclei are clearly visible in the intermediate squamous epithelium of the normal site (left). A confocal image of oral squamous cell carcinoma is characterized by disordered tissue structure (right). Scale bars: 50 µm. (b) High-resolution fluorescence images after topical application of acriflavine hydrochloride in ex vivo specimens. Normal mucosa with regular configuration of cell nuclei (left) and in an invasive carcinoma of the floor of the mouth showing different sizes of nuclei (right) (imaging plane depth = 50 µm). Scale bar: 100 µm. (c) High-resolution fluorescence images of oral tissue after topical application of proflavine obtained with a fiber-optic fluorescence microendoscope, demonstrating qualitative differences between normal and cancerous tissue. Scale bars: 100 µm. The high resolution microendoscope used to obtain images in (c) is portable, battery-powered, and has been used in vivo in a variety of clinical settings. Part a is
reprinted from [57], with permission from © Elsevier (2008). Part b is reprinted from [59], with kind permission from © Springer Science+Business Media (2009). Part c is reprinted from [60], with permission from © Optical Society of America (2007).
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*A review of eight prospective investigations of the performance of visual examination for populations screening for oral cancer and precancer.*


*A multi-center study describing the use of visual examination with chemiluminescent illumination as an adjunct to conventional oral examination. Chemiluminescent examination did not improve visualization of red lesions, but improved brightness and sharpness of the margins of white lesions and red and white lesions.


**Pilot study describing the performance of an initial version of the VELscope to discriminate normal oral mucosa from neoplastic lesions based on loss of autofluorescence.

*Exploratory study using the VELscope to assess whether alterations in autofluorescence around oral cancers correspond to subclinical field changes. Loss of fluorescence was found to extend beyond the clinical margin and was associated with dysplasia and loss of heterozygosity at 3p and/or 9p.*


** Study examining the rate of recurrence for oral cancer patients whose lesions were resected with standard visual guidance vs. autofluorescence image-guidance. With a minimum 12-month follow up, 32% of the 22 control-group patients experienced recurrence, while none of the 38 fluorescence-guided patients experienced recurrence.
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39. Balevi B: Evidence-based decision-making: should the general dentist adopt the use of the 

40. Kalmar JR: Advances in the detection and diagnosis of oral precancerous and cancerous lesions. 


*A review of the literature describing the performance of oral cancer screening adjuncts including toluidine blue, brush cytology, tissue reflectance and autofluorescence, concluding that well-designed clinical studies are needed to assess the utility of these diagnostics aids to detect oral lesions unseen by conventional examination.*


**Describes the use of digital fluorescence imaging to identify the presence and boundaries of oral neoplastic lesions in a training and validation data set; digital fluorescence images are analyzed to produce disease probability maps indicating the likelihood of neoplasia.**


*Confocal fluorescence microscopy of fresh organ cultures of oral mucosa is used to document the biological basis for differences in autofluorescence of normal, inflammatory, and neoplastic oral tissue.*


**Describes a novel high resolution fluorescence imaging system to image changes in nuclear size and density in oral mucosa associated with neoplasia.**